

II. Remarks

Reconsideration and re-examination of this application in view of the following remarks is herein respectfully requested.

After entering this Reply, claims 1-11, 13-16, 18, 20-22 and 24-27 remain pending.

Double Patenting

The Examiner rejected claims 1-11, 13-16, 18, 20-22 and 24-27 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness type double patenting. Terminal Disclaimers, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321, are attached hereto.

Accordingly, Applicants request withdrawal of the rejection as moot.

Conclusion

In view of the above remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the present form of the claims are patentably distinguishable over the art of record and that this application is now in condition for allowance. Such action is requested.

Respectfully submitted by,

Dated: August 7, 2009

/Robert K. Fergan/
Robert K. Fergan
Reg. No.: 51,674
Attorney for Applicants

BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE
524 South Main Street, Suite 200
Ann Arbor, MI 48104-2921
(734) 302-6000